

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				. <u> </u>
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,468	12/22/2005	Oliver Fahnle	1248.001	2190
23598 BOYLE FRED	7590 01/09/200 PRICKSON NEWHOL	EXAMINER		
250 E. WISCO	NSIN AVENUE	SCRUGGS, ROBERT J		
SUITE 1030 MILWAUKEE	E, WI 53202	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3723	
		·		····
		<i>:</i>	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/527,468	FAHNLE, OLIVER		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robert Scruggs	3723		

	Robert Scruggs	3723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ado	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 13 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ER 41.31; or (3)				
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final reject	ion.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Itension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon Iter than three months after the mailing de	inally set in the final Off	ice action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (3/ CFR 41.3/(8)), t	o avoid distillissat of t	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	had a single the data of filling a brin	f will not be entered i	necause				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further of the contract of	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);) I E below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			1116 199069 101				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))).	ampliant Amandman	(DTOL_324)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	(F I OL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):	timely filed amonds	ent canceling the				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following replacement. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 							
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ v ovided below or appended.	viii be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 15-33.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	1 t - f - a - a - a - th - data of 61: a	Notice of Appeal will	not be entered				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ind sufficient reasons why the anio	avil of other evidence	is necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess.	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apparts and was not earlier presented.	See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanate peoplest FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ion of the status of the claims after	entry is below or alla	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	- /	2.1 -				
13. Other:							
		DAVID B. TI PRIMARY EX					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant added additional structural features in claim 15 including, that the cross-sectional area of the inlet is smaller than the cross-sectional area of the outlet, this limiation has not been previously considered and would require further examination. In addition, applicant contends that claim 24 is allowable based on the pressure supplied from the supply unit being under 20 bar. The examiner would like to mention that the Hashish et al. reference discloses "up to 30,000 psi", the examiner has considered the term, "up to" to disclose 0-30,000 psi, this obviously discloses the range of under 20 bar and even under 5 bar. Therefore, the arguments provided with respect to claim 24 are not persuasive.